

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/619,379	07/14/2003	Robert D. Durand	1-20325	5427
27210 7	590 08/09/2005		EXAMINER	
	N, SOBANSKI & TOD		PATEL, KIRAN B	
720 WATER S	ME PLAZA - FOURTH I TREET	rLOOK	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TOLEDO, OH	43604		3612	

DATE MAILED: 08/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/619,379	DURAND, ROBERT D.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kiran B. Patel	3612				
The MAILING DATE of this commun Period for Reply	ication appears on the cover sheet wi	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comr - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum st - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months a earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r munication. 30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of third latutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON y will, by statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>24 July 2005</u> .					
,—	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
closed in accordance with the practi	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restrict	re withdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any obje	ection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
<u> </u>	g the correction is required if the drawing	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to	o by the Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
2. Certified copies of the priority3. Copies of the certified copies	documents have been received. documents have been received in A of the priority documents have been onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage				
,		·				
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F 		ummary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/20/04</u> .		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3612

DETAILED ACTION

#2 Non-Final Rejection (8/4/05)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

1. Claims 1-9, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 2, "wherein each of said body closure panels is a generally flat panel having one or more open spaces provided therein to support other components of vehicular body and frame assembly thereon" fails to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Panel 12 in Fig 1 does not appear to be a flat panel as claimed in claim 1.

Art Unit: 3612

Claim Rejections - 35 USC \$ 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ashina et al. (6,022,070).

Regarding claims 1-9, as best understood, Ashina et al. (6,022,070) discloses in Fig. 1-16 the invention as claimed to include a plurality of structural components 162, 164, etc. that are secured together in such a manner as to form a space frame (space frame - open three-dimensional framework of struts and braces which defines a structure and which the weight is evenly distributed in all directions) assembly 101 and a plurality of body closure panels 102a-102g mounted on said structural components of said space frame assembly to form the vehicular body and frame assembly; a generally flat panel having one or more open spaces Fig 1 provided therein to support other components of vehicular body and frame assembly thereon; a door closure panel 102b; a front windshield closure panel 102a;

a rear windshield closure panel 102e; a floor closure panel; panels having sized and shaped recess Fig 1; first and second sealing devices Fig 13B.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 7/24/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show, teach or suggest certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., an enclosed three-dimensional space) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims.

See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). Assembly 101, as shown in the figures, clearly shows a space frame meaning - open three-dimensional framework of struts and braces which defines a structure and in which the weight is evenly distributed in all directions. Assembly 101 is formed from plurality of structural components 160, 162, 164, 166 that are secured together in such a manner as to define an enclosed three-dimensional space formed by 160, 162, 164.

Application/Control Number: 10/619,379 Page 5

Art Unit: 3612

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record in attached Notice of Reference Cited (PTO-892) and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. This art

·

of record shows various features similar to the applicant's invention.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications should

be directed to Primary Examiner Kiran B. Patel whose telephone number is 571-

272-6665. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:00 to 5:00. The

fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is

assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Kiran B. Patel, P. E Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3612

August 4, 2005